SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

KRISTARA SANTOS formerly known as KRISTARA ALONZO, an individual; and DOES 1-100, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

JUSTICE INVESTORS, LP, a California limited partnership

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):
Superior Court of the State of California

County of San Francisco

Civic Center Courthouse 400 McAllister Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Steven J. "Bernie" Bernheim (Cal Bar No. 143319), (818) 760-7341

THE BERNHEIM LAW FIRM

11611 Dona Alicia Place, Studio City, California 91604

2.

DATE: (Fecha)

OCT 08 2019

Clerk of the Court

Clerk, by (Secretario)

7

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

NEYL WEBB

19-579850

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueta de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

citation use enformulatio Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-070)).

OF SAN TRANCE

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: Yo	u are served
---------------------------------	--------------

as an individual defendant.

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

on behalf of (specify):

under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1

Deputy

(Adjunto)

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso)

10		
1 2	THE BERNHEIM LAW FIRM Steven J. "Bernie" Bernheim (Cal. Bar No. 14 Nazo S. Semerdjian (Cal. Bar No. 223536)	
3	11611 Dona Alicia Place Studio City, California 91604	San Francisco County Superior Court
	Phone: (818) 760-7341	OCT 0.8 2019
5	Email: berniebernheim@gmail.com Email: nazosemerdjian@gmail.com	CLERK OF THE COURT
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, JUSTICE INVESTORS, LP	Deputy Clerk
7	Source investigations, El	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF	SAN FRANCISCO
10		
11	JUSTICE INVESTORS, LP, a California limited partnership,	Case No. CGC - 19 - 57 9 8 5 0
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
13	VS.	(1) FRAUD (2) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
14	KRISTARA SANTOS formerly known as	(3) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (4) VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE § 496
15	KRISTARA ALONZO, an individual; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	(5) NEGLIGENCE (6) VIOLATIONS OF UNFAIR COMPETITION
16	Defendants.	LAWS (B. & P. CODE §§ 17200, ET SEQ.) (7) CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST
17		(8) ACCOUNTING (9) UNJUST ENRICHMENT (10) CIVIL CONSPIRACY
18		
19		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
20		
21		
22	2.77 E-1	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

, 1

,

1. Plaintiff Justice Investors, LP ("Plaintiff" or "Justice") is a California limited partnership, with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California. Justice owns (through its wholly-owned subsidiaries) and operates the 544-room San Francisco Financial District Hilton Hotel and its attached parking garage, located at 750 Kearny Street, in San Francisco, California (collectively, the "Hotel").

- 2. Defendant Kristara Santos, formerly known as Kristara Alonzo ("Defendant" or "Alonzo"), is an individual and, at all relevant times, was a resident of Marin County, California. From approximately 2006 to approximately 2010, Alonzo was Justice's Chief Accountant. From approximately 2010 through June 2016, Alonzo was Justice's Director of Finance/chief financial officer.
- 3. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend its complaint to identify the true names and capacities of DOES 1 to 100 inclusive, when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each fictitiously-named Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and that Plaintiff's damages were directly and proximately caused by each of their conduct and/or omissions.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, each and every Defendant, including the Defendants sued as DOES 1 to 100, was the agent, servant, employee, joint-venturer, partner, subsidiary, alter ego, and/or co-conspirator of each other Defendant and, that in performing or failing to perform the acts herein alleged, each was acting individually as well as through and in the foregoing alleged capacity and within the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint-venture, partnership, subsidiary, alter ego and/or conspiracy, and each other Defendant ratified and

affirmed the acts and omissions of the other Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that each Defendant, in taking the actions alleged herein and/or ratifying the actions alleged herein, acted within the course and scope of such authority and, at the same time, for their own financial and individual advantage, as well as in the course and scope of such employment, agency and as an alter ego therein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this dispute pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 410.10, because the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000, the actions upon which this Complaint is based occurred in the State of California, and all parties have sufficient relationships and contacts with the State of California to establish jurisdiction.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district under Code of Civil Procedure sections 395(a) and 395.5, because Plaintiff and Defendant conducted business within the County of San Francisco, Defendant's wrongful acts were committed within the County of San Francisco, and Plaintiff suffered damages within the County of San Francisco.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Justice owns and operates the Hotel.
- 8. Geoffrey M. Palermo ("Palermo") managed the Hotel from 2004 until June 2016. At various times, including from 2004 until November 2008 and from December 2013 until June 2016, the Hotel was also managed by an alter ego entity owned and controlled by Palermo, GMP Management, Inc. ("GMP Management").

- 14. Both as Chief Accountant and later as Director of Finance/chief financial officer, Alonzo's duties also included issuing, authorizing and/or signing checks, wire transfers and cash payments drawn against Justice's bank accounts.
- officer, Alonzo reviewed and/or approved contracts for capital improvements between

 Justice and various vendors, including Adan A. Roldan ("Roldan"), a California licensed general building contractor. Alonzo was also responsible for signing purchase orders for capital improvements, and she signed every purchase order Palermo approved. Alonzo maintained copies (organized by project) of all capital expenditure requests, approvals and payments.
- 16. As Director of Finance/chief financial officer, Alonzo owed a fiduciary duty of the highest loyalty to Justice. As an employee, she also owed a fiduciary duty to Justice, including the duty to refrain from "self-dealing in whatever form it occurs." Meister v. Mensinger (2014) 230 Cal.App.4th 381, 395 (emphasis added). Alonzo remained an officer and employee with those attendant duties, up through June 8, 2016, when she and Palermo both abruptly resigned on the same day.
- 17. Justice later learned that while Alonzo was an employee, fiduciary and/or officer of Justice, Justice's Managing Director, Palermo, was engaged in a continuing scheme to defraud Justice, embezzle funds from Justice and engage in other malfeasance and nonfeasance, as alleged below. Alonzo had knowledge of Palermo's misconduct detailed below, and failed to report such malfeasance to Justice's partners to whom she owed a fiduciary duty.
- 18. Further, on information and belief, Alonzo was an active participant, accomplice, co-conspirator, partner and/or facilitator of Palermo's misconduct and other

malfeasance and nonfeasance. Palermo, in turn, gave Alonzo multiple promotions, raises, bonuses and gifts, all paid for with Justice's funds.

Alonzo conspired with Palermo to divert Justice's business resources to a competitor and to Palermo's own business interests.

- 19. Starting in or about 2010, Alonzo in conspiracy with Palermo took on a job to develop and operate a competing hotel business, the Da Vinci Villa Hotel ("the Da Vinci Villa"), located less than two miles from Justice's Hotel. She did so without the knowledge or permission of Justice's managing general partner. Alonzo set up the Da Vinci Villa's accounting operation and ran it from Justice's offices at the Hotel, when she was supposed to be working for Justice. In so doing, she continued to take a full time salary from Justice, thereby diverting Justice's business resources to a competitor. Alonzo did not keep timesheets or allocate her time to Da Vinci Villa matters versus Hilton Hotel matters. This was a total breach of Alonzo's duties as an officer, fiduciary and/or employee of Justice.
- 20. Alonzo also directed subordinate personnel to work at the Da Vinci Villa while Justice was paying the full salaries of those personnel. Moreover, to cover up her wrongdoing, Alonzo specifically instructed subordinate personnel (who were receiving full time salaries from Justice) to conceal from Justice's managing general partner the fact that these personnel were performing work for the Da Vinci Villa while being paid by Justice, in violation of her and their duties to Justice.
- 21. In January 2016, while still an officer and Director of Finance of Justice, Alonzo stepped up her moonlighting and diversion of Justice's business resources. Specifically, she took on the position of Chief Financial Officer of GMP Cars LLC, an automotive business owned by Palermo and headquartered in Novato, California. Alonzo took on this additional

full-time work without the knowledge of Justice's managing general partner, while continuing to receive a full time six-figure salary paid by Justice.

22. Justice estimates that Alonzo and the personnel working under her, at Alonzo's direction, spent more than 50 percent of their time on work which did not benefit Justice. Instead, at Alonzo's direction, more than 50 percent of their time was spent doing work that benefitted the Da Vinci Villa and/or Palermo and/or Palermo's businesses, including GMP Cars and GMP Management.

Alonzo conspired with Palermo to embezzle Justice's funds and to funnel funds to Palermo, GMP Cars and GMP Management.

- 23. Between June 2008 and December 2013, Alonzo issued and signed or otherwise caused to be issued checks to Palermo drawn against Justice's bank accounts totaling in excess of \$1,000,000.00, for purported expense reimbursements, including for meals, overseas trips, furniture, parties and gifts. Alonzo knew or should have known that most of these were unapproved and/or illegitimate expense reimbursements that benefitted the Da Vinci Villa and/or Palermo and/or Palermo's businesses, including GMP Cars and GMP Management.
- 24. In December 2013, Justice entered into a Management Services Agreement ("Management Contract") with GMP Management, one of Palermo's alter ego business entities, wholly owned and controlled by him. Under the Management Contract, GMP Management was not entitled to reimbursement for costs or for employee expenses, other than those related directly to the operation of the Hotel. Moreover, under the Management Contract, direct payments to Palermo were not permitted. Rather, GMP Management was required, "at its sole expense," to provide Palermo's services to Justice. GMP Management was only permitted certain agreed-upon monthly management fees and expense

reimbursements expressly approved in advance by Justice's managing general partner, Portsmouth.

- 25. Alonzo was or should have been aware of the approval requirement and of the true amounts to which GMP Management was lawfully entitled. Nevertheless, Alonzo negligently and/or intentionally issued and signed checks and/or other transfers to GMP Management in amounts that far exceeded the amounts to which GMP Management was lawfully entitled. Further, she directed other personnel reporting to her to do the same. Overall, between December 2013 and June 2016, Alonzo issued and signed or otherwise authorized checks to GMP Management drawn against Justice's bank accounts totaling in excess of \$4,075,000.00, for management fees and purported expense reimbursements. Such payments included, but were not limited to, unauthorized "true up" fees and unapproved and/or illegitimate expense reimbursements.
- 26. Alonzo also engaged in other wrongdoing, by issuing and signing checks or otherwise causing checks to be drawn against Justice's bank accounts, to pay expenses and make other payments that did not benefit Justice, but rather benefitted Palermo's other business interests, including GMP Cars. For example:
 - (a) In or about May 2014, Alonzo caused Justice to pay \$3,000 monthly so that Palermo could host an exotic car event from June to December 2014 at Pier 32 in San Francisco, to benefit GMP Cars and Palermo's personal interests.
 - (b) Alonzo also caused Justice to pay \$7,300 in or about 2014, and \$32,000 in or about 2015, for exotic car events to benefit GMP Cars.
 - (c) Alonzo authorized Justice to pay \$18,500 in or about 2014 and over \$63,000 in 2015 to sponsor Palermo's Ferrari Owners Group events, to benefit GMP Cars

and Palermo's personal interests as a Ferrari owner and racer, and these events, which Alonzo caused Justice to pay for Palermo's benefit, even included street closures and paying off duty SFPD officers to provide security.

- (d) In or about February 2016, Alonzo caused Justice to enter a contract with an architect which obligated Justice to pay \$3,300 to the architect for a rendering for use at the Golden Gate Ferrari Festival at the San Francisco Civic Center, to benefit GMP Cars.
- (e) In or about July 2015, Alonzo caused Justice to pay Michael Yaki for consulting services relating to Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions (CC&Rs) relating to a property (Bel Marin Keys) unrelated to Justice's business.

In violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Practices, Alonzo's practice was to amortize these and other one-time expenditures over a period of 12 months, in an attempt to cover them up by making them seem smaller than they actually are. As a further cover up, she disguised many of these wrongful expenditures as "public relations" expenses, which they were not.

- 27. Alonzo engaged in further wrongdoing by issuing and signing checks or otherwise causing checks to be drawn against Justice's bank accounts that did not benefit Justice, but rather benefitted Palermo and his family personally. For example:
 - (a) In or about 2013, Alonzo caused Justice to pay \$5,000.00 to sponsor a golf tournament for San Domenico School, the private school that Palermo's daughter attended.

 \$50,000.00 (2/24/2014) and \$50,000.00 (1/21/2014). Again, Alonzo failed to report any of this to Justice's Managing General Partner.

Alonzo aided and abetted Palermo in his conspiracy with contractor Roldan to defraud Justice and embezzle Justice's funds. She also intentionally, recklessly or negligently authorized and issued millions of dollars in checks to him.

- 31. Palermo met an individual named Adan M. Roldan in 2004 or prior. Prior to 2004, Roldan did mostly gardening work, including at Palermo's home. In 2004, Roldan obtained a California general building contractor license at Palermo's urging.
- 32. Having convinced this gardener to obtain a general contractor license, Palermo then began using him to do construction work at the Hotel. Beginning in approximately 2007, Palermo hired the former gardener Roldan for most of the Hotel's capital improvement projects, even though Roldan had no training or experience qualifying him for such work.
- 33. Between March 2009 and May 2016, Alonzo issued and signed or otherwise caused to be issued 834 checks payable to Roldan and drawn against Justice's bank accounts, totaling more than \$9.6 million. In the final three years alone, between January 2013 and May 2016, Alonzo issued and signed or otherwise caused to be issued 455 checks payable to Roldan and drawn against Justice's bank accounts, totaling more than \$6.4 million.
- 34. Palermo and Alonzo knew or should have known that Roldan was unqualified to do most of this work. Roldan had no commercial construction experience, and virtually no construction experience of any kind, other than working at the Hotel. Moreover, Roldan's general building contractor license did not allow him to do many of the projects he was contracted for, as they were one-trade only contracts and therefore required specialty

licenses which he did not hold. And Roldan did not obtain from the City and County of San Francisco any of the required permits to do this work.

- 35. Palermo and Alonzo did not solicit competitive bids. And Roldan did not submit detailed contracts or proposals. Instead, Roldan submitted one-page, poorly written, substandard proposals for massive capital improvement projects, including multi-million dollar projects. Palermo and Alonzo approved the proposals. Palermo and Alonzo then transferred more than ten million dollars to Roldan from Justice's accounts.
- 36. Palermo and Alonzo avoided meaningful oversight over the capital improvement projects Roldan contracted to perform, by hiring junior moonlighting construction managers instead of established commercial construction management firms. They gave these junior personnel the title of "Project Manager," but as to Roldan, Palermo and Alonzo themselves performed the role of owner/representative and manager of construction with regard to the massive capital improvement projects at the Hotel.
- 37. In violation of industry standards, Palermo and Alonzo did not allow the "project managers" to review Roldan's licenses, nor to conduct competitive bidding, nor to participate in the retention of Roldan, nor to review Roldan's contracts, nor to review and approve Roldan's payment requests.
- 38. Within the last three years from the date of this Complaint, Justice discovered that much of the work Roldan completed was performed negligently and below the standard of care, and that there are defects in Roldan's workmanship and in the materials he used.
- 39. Moreover, as alleged below, Justice discovered that Palermo and Alonzo caused Justice to pay Roldan well in excess of \$1 million for work Roldan never actually

12

13

14

15

10

11

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

performed. Palermo, aided and abetted by Alonzo, received large cash kickbacks from Roldan. Palermo and Alonzo actively worked to conceal their malfeasance from Justice.

- 40. For example, on December 5, 2014, Palermo approved Roldan's proposal to perform work on the Hotel's guestroom bathrooms, at the rate of \$9,636.00 per room. Between January 2015 and January 2016, Alonzo issued and/or signed and/or otherwise caused to be issued 25 checks, totaling \$841,050.00, for this project, payable to Roldan and drawn against Justice's bank accounts. This \$841,050.00 paid to Roldan should have covered the work for 87 rooms, at the agreed rate of \$9,636.00 per room. But Roldan only performed the work in 11 rooms, at an effective rate of \$76,459.09 per room. The total amount of loss to Justice via this particular malfeasance exceeds \$730,000.00.
- 41. As another example, on September 16, 2015, Palermo approved Roldan's proposal to "remove ceiling texture and paint ceiling" in all guestrooms, at the rate of \$1,120.00 per room. Between October 2015 and January 2016, Alonzo issued and/or signed and/or otherwise caused to be issued 10 checks, totaling \$346,440.00, for this project, payable to Roldan and drawn against Justice's bank accounts. This \$346,440.00 paid to Roldan should have covered the work for 309 rooms, at the agreed rate of \$1,120.00 per room. But Roldan only performed the work in 43 rooms, at an effective rate of \$8,056.74 per room. The total amount of loss to Justice via this particular malfeasance exceeds \$297,000.00.

Unauthorized Sports Tickets for Palermo's Personal Use

42. Between March 2014 and May 2016, Alonzo issued and/or signed and/or otherwise caused to be issued checks in the total amount of \$169,277.50, drawn against Justice's bank accounts, for tickets to Golden State Warriors basketball games. And between January 2014 and September 2015, Alonzo issued and/or signed and/or otherwise caused to

Moreover, Justice had entrusted Palermo and Alonzo with access and control

of Justice's files and records, including computer systems, servers, data, bookkeeping and

COMPLAINT

46.

27

accounting records, and bank accounts, and allowed Palermo and Alonzo to manage the Hotel, including its financial matters (collectively, the "Justice Financial Records"). Unbeknownst to Justice, Palermo and Alonzo used that access and control over the Justice Financial Records to engage in the above-described sophisticated, calculated and fraudulent schemes to embezzle large sums of money from Justice.

1

2

47. Alonzo exercised this access and control over the Justice Financial Records to purposefully conceal her own and Palermo's misconduct from Justice.

48. When Palermo and Alonzo abruptly resigned on June 8, 2016, they sought to further cover up their wrongful conduct by illegally taking the Justice Financial Records, including all Justice computers and hundreds of boxes of Justice documents and records, to an unknown location. Justice repeatedly demanded that they return the property. Although they returned some files relating to the Hotel operations, they still retain and refuse to return Justice's financial backup documents and other materials to Justice, their rightful owner.

17

Moreover, Palermo and Alonzo arranged for and/or aided and abetted in the deletion of all Justice emails for all email addresses associated with @justiceinvestors.com email accounts, including Palermo's and Alonzo's email accounts, and at least 10 other email accounts. This intentional mass-deletion of emails was another attempt to prevent Justice from discovering their wrongdoing.

23

50. Palermo and Alonzo left behind seven computers when they vacated their offices in the Hotel. But these were new computers with no data on them. A forensic analysis conducted in May 2019 by TransPerfect Legal Solutions confirmed this. The only possible inference is that Palermo and Alonzo removed all the computers and replaced them with

1	new ones shortly before they left. This was yet another attempt to cover up their		
2	wrongdoing.		
3			
4	51. This misappropriation and destruction of Justice's computers, documents,		
5	emails and financial records has damaged Justice, and continues to damage Justice, in an		
6	amount to be proven at trial.		
7			
8	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	<u>FRAUD</u>		
10	\		
11	52. Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 51 as if fully set forth herein.		
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13	53. As set forth above, Alonzo repeatedly made false representations regarding,		
14	concealed and failed to disclose material facts.		
15			
16	54. Alonzo knew that her representations were false when made and that she		
17	concealed from and failed to disclose material information to Justice.		
18			
19	55. Alonzo intended for Justice to rely on her misrepresentations, concealment		
20	and non-disclosure of material facts.		
21	ky .		
22	56. Justice was unaware of the falsity of Alonzo's representations and instead,		
23	actually, reasonably and justifiably relied on them to its detriment. Justice was unaware of		
24	the information that Alonzo concealed and failed to disclose, and instead reasonably and		
25	justifiably assumed to its detriment that Alonzo would timely disclose all material		
26	information.		
27			

57. As a direct and proximate result of Alonzo's fraudulent conduct, Justice has and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to conform to proof at trial, but in no event less than the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

58. Justice alleges based on information and belief that Alonzo engaged in the aforementioned fraudulent conduct with the intent to injure Justice or with a willful and conscious disregard of Justice's rights. Alonzo's fraudulent conduct constitutes despicable, outrageous, oppressive, and malicious conduct under Section 3294 of the Code of Civil Procedure and justifies an award of exemplary and punitive damages against Alonzo.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- 59. Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 51 as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. When Alonzo made the above misrepresentations and concealed and failed to disclose the above material facts, she had no reasonable grounds for believing them to be true, but, nevertheless, engaged in this scheme with the intention to induce Justice to act in reliance on the same in the manner alleged herein, or with the expectation that Justice would so act.
- 61. At the time that Alonzo concealed the above material facts and made the above material misrepresentations and omissions, Justice was not aware of the falsity of Alonzo's representations and believed them to be true, and acted in actual and justifiable reliance upon those representations.

69. On information and belief, Alonzo violated Penal Code section 496 by knowingly taking possession of property that has been stolen and that has been obtained in any manner constituting theft and has concealed and withheld Justice's property and has aided in concealing and withholding Justice's property from Justice.

70. Justice has been injured by Alonzo's violation of Penal Code section 496 in an amount to conform to proof at trial, but in no event less than the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

71. Pursuant to Penal Code section 496(c), Justice is entitled to three times the amount of its actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, cost of suit and its reasonable attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION **NEGLIGENCE**

72. Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 51 as if fully set forth herein.

73. For the reasons set forth above, Alonzo was negligent in performing her duties and obligations to Justice as its employee and Director of Finance/chief financial officer, and failed to use the same standard of care and skill as would a reasonable employee in the same or similar circumstances, in violation of the standards of care for accounting and financial professionals.

74. As a direct and proximate result, Justice has suffered significant damages in an amount to conform to proof at trial, but in no event less than the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

7		SIATH CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	<u>UNFAIR COMPETITION</u>		
3			
4	75.	Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 74 as if fully set forth herein.	
5			
6	76.	Alonzo's conduct of defrauding Justice and her breaches of fiduciary duty as	
7	alleged herein constitutes unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practices in violation		
8	of Business	and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq., and California common law.	
9	·-		
10	77.	As a result of Alonzo's unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent acts and practices,	
11	Justice has	suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property.	
12	,- -		
13		SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
14		CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST	
15			
16	78.	Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 77 as if fully set forth herein.	
17			
18	79.	Alonzo misappropriated and/or unlawfully and improperly took funds	
19	and other property rightfully belonging to Justice.		
20			
21	80.	On information and belief, Alonzo still is in possession of such assets but has	
22	failed and refused to return them to Justice.		
23	X 8		
24	81.	By virtue of Alonzo's wrongful, improper and/or illegal acts, Alonzo holds such	
25	assets, all o	f which rightfully belong to Justice, as well as all assets acquired using such funds	
26	and propert	ties as constructive trustees for Justice's benefit.	
27	٢		
28	82.	Justice is currently unaware of the exact value of the assets wrongfully	

1	89.	By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, Alonzo holds all proceeds and benefits	
2	derived from her wrongful conduct, as a constructive trustee for the benefit of Justice.		
3			
4		NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
5		<u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u>	
6			
.7	<u> </u>	Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 89 as if fully set forth herein.	
8			
9	91.	As a result of Alonzo's wrongful conduct as alleged herein, Alonzo has been	
10	unjustly enri	iched in that she took and now refuses to return funds and other property that	
11	belong to Pla	aintiff.	
12			
13	92.	It would be unjust for Alonzo to retain the benefits she has gained through her	
14	unlawful conduct. Unless this Court orders restitution, Alonzo will unjustly benefit to		
15	Justice's detriment.		
16			
17		TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
18	· \	CIVIL CONSPIRACY	
19			
20	93.	Justice incorporates paragraphs 1 through 92 as if fully set forth herein.	
21			
:22	94.	Palermo committed misconduct.	
23			
24	95.	Justice was harmed by Palermo's misconduct.	
25	,		
26	96.	Alonzo agreed with Palermo and intended that the misconduct be committed.	
27			
28		. 23	

1	97. Alonzo is responsible for the harm because she was part of a conspiracy to		
2	commit that misconduct causing the harm.		
3	<u>, </u>		
4	RELIEF REQUESTED		
5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6	WHEREFORE, Justice prays for a judgment granting the following relief against Alonzo		
7			
8	. 1.	Compensatory, special, and consequential damages according to proof at trial,	
9	in an amoun	t exceeding \$5 million;	
10		1	
11	2.	Statutory damages of at least three times the amount of actual damages	
12	suffered by.	Justice;	
13	,		
14	3.	All relief allowed by Penal Code section 496;	
15			
16	4.	An award of exemplary or punitive damages in the amount of \$10 million;	
17			
18	5.	Disgorgement of Alonzo's ill-gotten gains resulting from the conduct alleged	
19	herein;		
20		,	
21	6.	An accounting of all monies, property and all other benefits obtained by Alonzo	
22			
23			
24	7. ,	Imposition of a constructive trust over Alonzo's ill-gotten gains derived from	
25	the conduct	alleged herein;	
26			
27	8.	Restitution of all benefits Alonzo received by her wrongful conduct alleged	
28	herein, in an amount exceeding \$1 million; 24		
		COMPLAINT	

1			
2	Temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, including requiring		
3	Alonzo to return the Justice Financial Records;		
4			
5	10. Costs of suit incurred herein, including attorneys' fees;		
. 6			
7	11. Prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate; and		
8			
9	12. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
10			
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
12			
13	Justice demands trial by jury of all claims and issues so triable.		
14			
15	Dated: October 7, 2019 Respectfully submitted,		
16	THE BERNHEIM LAW FIRM		
17 18	By: Berner Dy		
19	Steven J. "Bernie" Bernheim Nazo S. Semerdjian		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff, JUSTICE INVESTORS, LP		
21	JUSTICE INVESTORS, LP		
22	•		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	25		

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar no		FOR COURT USE ONLY
Steven J. "Bernie" Bernheim (SBN 1433	319); Nazo S. Semerdjian (SBN 223	536)
THE BERNHEIM LAW FIRM		
11611 Dona Alicia Place		FII, El,
Studio City, California 91604		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
теlерноме No.: (818) 760-7341	FAX NO.:	San Francisco Co Superior Court
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Justice Investors, LP		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San	Francisco	OCT 0 8 2019
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street		
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street		CLERK OF THE COURT
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco 94102		BY:
BRANCH NAME: Civic Center		Deputy Clerk
CASE NAME: Justice Investors, LP v. Krist	ara Santos formerly known as Krista	
Alonzo	ara Santos formerly known as Krista	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	OI O Basin-stien	CASE NUMBER:
	Complex Case Designation	CGC-19-579850
☐ Unlimited ☐ Limited ☐ (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	000 17 07 7000
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	elow must be completed (see instruction	ns on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Unter real property (26)	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage		ics of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres		r of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming	the state of the s	ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta		ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 10	,,,,,,	,,,
	ation and	
5. This case is is is not a class at		
6. If there are any known related cases, file at	nd serve a notice of related case. (You n	may use form CM-015.)
Date: October 7, 2019		S 1:.
Nazo S. Semerdjian, Esq.	<i>N</i> c	azo Semerdjian
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		Q V
	NOTICE	
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the f 		
	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rul	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet sheet in addition to any cover sheet s		
	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3 740 or a compley case this cover she	eet will be used for statistical nurnoses only
- Offices this is a conections case under rule	5.7-5 of a complex case, this cover she	Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warrantv

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogátion

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County) Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)
Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Other Civil Petition